

Methodology for IL-514 - DuPage County CoC

Sheltered Population Total

1. What data source(s) was used to produce the total number of people included in the sheltered population (staying in an emergency shelter, Safe Haven, or transitional housing) on the night of the count? Please indicate the percentage of the PIT count derived from each of the sources. (If a source was not used, please enter zero).

| | |
|------------------------|-------------|
| HMIS Data | 95% |
| Provider-level surveys | 5% |
| Client-level surveys | 0% |
| Observation | 0% |
| Other | 0% |
| Total | 100% |

2. Was the CoC able to collect information about the number of people being sheltered on the night of the count from all emergency shelters, Safe Havens, and transitional housing projects listed on the HIC or only some? listed on your HIC or only some?

- Complete census count

3. What information or method(s) was used to de-duplicate the count of the total number of people included in the sheltered population?

- Comparison of personally identifying information (PII), such as name, date of birth, and Social Security Number
- Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
- Blitz count of persons in shelters (i.e., count occurred at same time to avoid double counting)

Sheltered Subpopulation

4. Looking at the change in your sheltered count from last year's count, please choose the three reasons you believe best explains these changes from the drop-down list below

- Change in rapid re-housing capacity
- Change in permanent supportive housing capacity

Please provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit):

We experienced an overall decrease of 6% in our sheltered count, and saw an increase in permanent housing dedicated to persons experiencing homelessness by 19% in our Housing Inventory Count.

Unsheltered Population

5. What approach(es) was used to count the total number of people included in the unsheltered population during the PIT count? (select all that apply)

- "Night of the count" - census

5a1. Did you cover the entire geography of your CoC during the night of the count?

5a1a. What were the reasons you were unable to cover the entire geography (select all th

6. Were certain areas within the CoC geography specifically excluded because the CoC had reason to believe there were no unsheltered people in those areas based on prior knowledge/experience?

- No

7. Did the CoC adjust the information in some way (e.g., statistical adjustment or extrapolation) to areas within the CoC geography that were not canvassed but where unsheltered people might have stayed the night of the PIT count?

- No

8. Were certain areas within the CoC geography specifically excluded because of concerns related to privacy and safety?

- No

- Comparison of personally identifying information (PII), such as name, date of birth, and Social Security Number

Point In Time Methodology for IL-514 - DuPage County CoC

- Change in other supportive housing subsidy capacity

at apply)?

n to believe

account for
ve been on the

d to public health

9. What information or method(s) was used to de-duplicate the total count of people in the unsheltered population? (Check all that apply)

- Comparison of unique client identifiers (not PII)
- Blitz count of unsheltered people (i.e., canvassing of different areas occurred at same time to avoid double counting)
- Interview/survey question(s) with screening questions (e.g., have you already completed a count survey)

Unsheltered Subpopulations

10. Looking at the change in your unsheltered count from last year's count, please choose up to three reasons that best explain these changes from the drop down list below. Please also provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit).

- Other:

Please provide a brief description of these specific factors (500 word limit):

There were no changes made to the methodology used for this year's unsheltered count. We saw an increase of 6%, which is only 2 additional people compared to the 2022 count. Majority of the clients counted were not interviewed and we used known data to approximate the demographics for those not assessed.

No change in methodology. Change in persons counted was insignificant (+2 persons).

